The foregoing represents an overview of the more egregious errors of the FCC action. Though they do not exhaust all of the issues which may be raised in a brief on the merits, the FPSC submits that the foregoing showing demonstrates a substantial likelihood of success on the merits.

b. Has the petitioner shown that without such relief, it will be irreparably injured?

Deprivation of a constitutional right by itself constitutes irreparable injury. The FPSC submits that the FCC arrogation of power violates the Tenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Florida asserts that in addition to the explicit grants of state authority in the act, the FPSC as agent of the sovereign State of Florida retains those inherent powers traditionally carried out by the State in the absence of explicit Congressional preemption; the FCC has, therefore, violated the

The FPSC also has concerns, including but not limited to, the FCC's assertion of its Section 208 authority, that may well exceed the FCC's statutory authority. Order at ¶128.

The stronger the case as to the likelihood of success on the merits, the less powerful the showing of irreparable harm needs to be. See, e.g., State of Ohio ex rel. Celebrezze v. NRC, 812 F.2d 288, 290 (6th Cir. 1987) (probability of success that must be shown is inversely proportional to the degree of irreparable injury the plaintiffs will suffer absent a [stay]).

See Wright, Miller & Kane, Federal Practice and Procedure: Civil 2d, sec. 2948, 1 at 161 (West 1995) ("When an alleged deprivation of a constitutional right is involved, most courts hold that no further showing of irreparable injury is necessary"); see also Elrod v. Burns, 427 U.S. 347, 373 (1976) ("loss of First Amendment freedoms, for even minimal period of time, unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury").

Tenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. As such this violates 5 U.S.C. (2)(B).

As the Court opined in <u>S.R. Koog v. United States</u>, 79 F.d 3rd 452, 456 (5th Cir. 1996), the "Supreme Court forcefully and emphatically concluded":

States are not mere political subdivisions of the United States. State governments are neither regional offices nor administrative agencies of the Federal Government. positions occupied by state officials appear nowhere on the Federal Government's most organizational chart. detailed Constitution instead "leaves to the several residuary and inviolable sovereignty," The Federalist No. 39, p. 245 (C. Rossiter ed. 1961), reserved explicitly to the States by the Tenth Amendment.

quoting New York v. United States, 505 U.S. 144, 167-169, 112 S.Ct. 2408, 2424 131 L. Ed. 2d 881 (1995).

The unlawful arrogation of sovereignty without authority of law irreparably injures the State of Florida and its citizens. Congress crafted a scheme whereby a state regulatory agency such as the FPSC is the primary adjudicator of virtually all disputes pursuant to state and federal law. See generally Sections 251; 252, Federal Act. As demonstrated in the previous section (a. above), state authority is expressly preserved by the federal Act itself.

Nothing is more important than jurisdiction and the related taking of Constitutional rights. Once the federal government takes power, it never seems to return to the State or the people. See Tenth Amendment, U.S. Constitution. The FPSC urges this Court to

declare that the unlawful seizure of this police power jurisdiction by the federal agency constitutes irreparable harm to Florida citizens. (See Section d.1 below).

The FPSC can demonstrate a number of examples of real world irreparable harm to the Florida business community and Florida ratepayers generally. The FPSC will also cite individual examples of irreparable harm. First, the predictability and continuity of the existing pro-competition regulatory system can and must be State evolves to a fully competitive preserved as the telecommunications system. Business people need stability and predictability as we move forward. Chaos would ensue if the federal usurpation at issue here takes place, where parties materially change position in reliance on same, and then the State position is upheld on appeal. This is in no one's interest, and represents the absolute worst public policy - a constantly vacillating, changing order. This would cause everyone in the State to be unsure of what the policy is.

The harm would extend to many small and large Florida businesses for whom telecommunications is the lifeblood.²⁷ These interests simply do not need abrupt stops and starts. The case specific example contained in Section a.2. concerning loop costs is instructive here. Florida-bred competition is alive and well. See the Introduction above. The FCC should allow this system to

Florida as a tourist destination state must have access to the finest and most economical telecommunications available.

continue by granting a stay until these serious (See 1.a. above) questions of law are answered. Without a stay, virtually every Florida business could be adversely affected.

Residential customers are often confused by the post-divestiture telephone world of today. The FPSC is in the best position to educate and generally help customers make the transition to an even more diverse telecommunications market. This is exactly what the Florida Legislature and Congress envisioned. See 364.01, Florida Statutes 1995. \$\$251, 252, Federal Act. Maintaining the transition to the more competitive world which has already begun will best serve the needs of these customers. The spectre of on again, off again rules adversely affects residential customers as well.

From the business community to Florida's large elderly population, irreparable harm exists when the rules change and perhaps change back in the middle of the game. The FPSC respectfully requests this Court to find irreparable harm in the active customer confusion and related cynicism which will result in the absence of a stay.

The FPSC is concerned about sending the wrong economic signal to the business community. If entrepreneurs enter into the local market based on the wrong pricing signals, then harm will result to many, including investors. It is reasonable to assume that if a

See generally Section d.1. below.

firm enters the local market based on interconnection rates and resale rates that are artificially low and thus offers a pricing schedule to consumers that could not be sustained when costs to the provider are increased, then harm will come. Prices could be increased, services could be reduced or the provider may become so weak as to be ineffective and go out of business. Under each one of these conditions, the consumer does not benefit, and in the long run, could be served by a market with fewer viable competitors.

The FPSC is concerned about certain markets sustaining a number of competitors. The cost signals to new entrants have to be realistic in order for them to enter the market and have any chance for success. Projecting costs of doing business artificially low raises a false sense of success and in the long run cannot benefit market competition. It will unreasonably delay effective competition.

If unrealistic costs of entry result in entrants making capital expenditures for outside plant facilities, economic waste is compounded. These uneconomic investments will have an impact in various ways, including how consumers react, how investors react, and how Wall Street reacts to unsuccessful entrants into the local markets. Such results will eventually spill over onto the consumer.²⁹ This, too, constitutes irreparable harm.

See attached affidavit of Walter D'Haeseleer at Appendix IV.

If the Order is not stayed, there will be further potential for harm because of the FCC's vision of the "competition trilogy" -- interconnection, universal service reform, and access charge (Order at ¶6) The interrelationship of these proceedings If the Order is not stayed, the FCC is at the FCC is undeniable. likely to continue to rely on this flawed jurisdictional analysis in those future proceedings. The FCC will adopt universal service rules by May 8, 1997. (Order at ¶7) The FCC will complete access reform before or concurrently with a final order on universal service (Order at ¶8), and has stated its intent to issue proposed this application of by November. The erroneous jurisdictional framework to those proceedings will compound the irreparable harm.

These eggs cannot be unscrambled if a stay is not granted.

All of these factors conclusively demonstrate irreparable harm.

c. Would the issuance of a stay substantially harm other parties interested in the proceedings?

Granting of a brief stay will not adversely affect parties. As noted in the Introduction, the Florida Commission has already taken numerous actions relating to the competitive carriage of traffic. All classes of carriers, including as interexchange carriers, have participated in and continue to participate in FPSC hearings.

The stay would preserve the existing competitive system until the significant statutory and constitutional questions are answered. Local competition, one of the principal goals of the 1996 Federal Act, is in place in Florida. Florida has certificated 38 alternative local exchange companies in Florida. As discussed above, the stops and starts are what damage the players in these markets. The FPSC believes the parties will not be substantially harmed if a stay is granted.

d. Where lies the public interest?

1. The Public Interest Lies in Issuance of a Stay

The FPSC is vested with exclusive jurisdiction over intrastate telecommunications services. 364.01(2), Florida Statutes. See also 152(b), Federal Act. (Appendix III). As a legislative entity (See Section 350.001, Florida Statutes, 1995), the FPSC is responsible to the Florida Legislature for carrying out the will of Floridians as expressed through the Legislature. As noted earlier, one of the most fundamental reasons that the illegal shifting of power from the state to federal government constitutes irreparable harm relates to the quality of the regulation itself.

The Florida Public Service Commission is created pursuant to Chapter 350, Florida Statutes. The five member commission is appointed by the Governor and has jurisdiction over certain water and wastewater companies, Chapter 367, Florida Statutes, electric and gas companies, Chapter 366, Florida Statutes, and as relevant

See the attached affidavit of Richard Tudor at Appendix V.

here, telecommunications companies, Chapter 364, Florida Statutes. The Commissioners themselves hear the vast majority of contested cases. Further, all parties have the right of cross-examination. See Section 120.57, Florida Statutes. Citizens often appear by the busload to relate concerns to Commissioners. The FPSC also holds evidentiary hearings all over the vast, varied State of Florida and often hears citizens' as well as expert testimony.

The FCC, on the other hand, holds "paper hearings" whereby everyone comments and replies in writing and the agency, after review of the written submissions, issues an order. This is not to denigrate the FCC process but simply to demonstrate that the Florida Commission knows Florida conditions and the needs and desires of Florida citizens and businesses. The FCC is far removed from this reality. The FPSC believes that this is why the Congress vested the bulk of the day-to-day decisionmaking authority in the State commissions. As the telecommunications industry continues to evolve and dramatically change, the State commissions serve an important informational function in helping consumers cope

But John Marks, a Tallahassee lawyer who was chairman of the PSC in the mid-1980s, says the regional Bell companies and state regulators both have legitimate grievances with the FCC. "I'm inclined to believe that when it comes to local issues such as these, state regulatory commissions tend to have a better handle on things than the FCC," Marks says. "We don't always like the Florida PSC, they don't always do the right thing, but it's a reasonably fair forum," for consumers, says Monte Belote, executive director of the Florida Consumer Action Network. Miami Herald, September 10, 1996, at B1 and B11. The entire text of the article appears as Appendix VI.

with the changes. Last year, the FPSC logged 8,412 complaint calls through an 800 number, with 5,673 or 67.44% related to telephone companies.³²

The public interest lies in the FPSC continuing to have a meaningful role in regulatory decisionmaking. This is clearly the Florida Legislative and Congressional intent. The hands-on knowledge of the FPSC of Florida citizens and business helps the FPSC decide how to properly structure the transition to the new competitive process. This role is no less than the citizens deserve. The public interest lies in accountable, accessible regulators rather than faceless federal officialdom. This is the system Florida has operated and will continue to operate if a brief stay is granted.

2. The Public Interest Favors Prompt Resolution of this Matter.

The public interest lies in prompt resolution of this matter. The FPSC believes that expedited treatment of the questions presented herein would be in the public interest. Irrespective of the outcome of these appeals, the FPSC stands ready to expedite oral argument, briefing and any other such matters as the Court may direct. An expedited decision serves all consumers' and providers' interest in that the vital provisioning of telephone service is a necessary service that residential and business customers must

 $^{^{32}}$ FPSC Annual Report for 1995, p. 69-70. The same office actually took over 53,000 consumer calls in 1995. $\underline{\text{Id.}}$

continue to receive at affordable rates. This is the case today and a brief stay to retain this status quo until this Commission can reach a decision is in the public interest.

CONCLUSION

The Florida Public Service Commission has demonstrated its entitlement to a stay based upon the above showings. The FPSC believes the cleanest way to go about a stay is to stay this matter nationwide, allowing progressive states such as Florida to continue toward the fully competitive world. At a minimum, the Commission should stay the jurisdictional findings listed while the appeal is pending.³³

The FCC has gone far beyond the statutory scheme promulgated by Congress. Therefore, the FPSC respectfully requests a stay as discussed above.

In the FPSC's opinion, the Commission would need to stay, in the Final Report and Order, paragraphs 22, 24, 29, 41, 55, 62, 83-103, 111-120, 124-129, and in Part VII on Pricing of Interconnection and Unbundled Elements, paragraphs in VII(B)(1) and VII(B)(2), VII(C). The rules that would need to be stayed are Section 51.505, Forward-looking economic cost and Section 51.503, General Pricing Standards, Section 51.513, Proxies for Forward-Looking Economic Costs; 51.515, Application of Access Charges; 51.707 Proxies for Transport and Termination; 51.715(3), Interim Transport and Termination Pricing.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT D. VANDIVER

General Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Suite 301, Gunter Building Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (904) 413-6248

September 18, 1996

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)		
Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996)	CC Docket N	o. 96 - 98

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion of the Florida Public Service Commission for Stay Pending Judicial Review has been sent to the following parties on the attached list.

ROBERT D. VANDIVER General Counsel

September 18, 1996

Kevin C. Gallagher 3600 Communications Company 8725 West Higgins Road Chicago, Illinois 60631 Rodney L. Joyce Ginsburg, Feldman & Bress 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

David A. Gross
Kathleen Q. Abernathy
AirTouch Communications, Inc.
1818 N Street, NW Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036

Pamela Riley AirTouch Communications, Inc. One California Street San Francisco, California 94111

Mary Newmeyer Alabama Public Service Commission 100 N. Union Street Montgomery, Alabama 36101 John B. Howe
Mary Clark Webster
Janet Gail Besser
The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Department of Public Utilities
100 Cambridge Street, 12th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02202

Scott Harshbarger
Daniel Mitchell
Regulated Industries Division
Public Protection Bureau
200 Portland Street, 4th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02114

Don Sussman
Larry Fenster
Charles Goldfarb
Mark Bryant
Mary L. Brown
MCI Telecommunications Corp.
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Joel B. Shifman, Esquire
Maine Public Utilities Commission
242 State Street
State House Station #18
Augusta, Maine 04333-0018

Karen Finstad Hammel, Esquire Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue Post Office Box 202601 Helena, Montana 59620-2601 Charles H. Helein
America's Carriers
Telecommunication Association
8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 700
McLean, Virginia 22102

Alan Dinsmore
American Foundation for
the Blind
1615 M Street NW, Suite 250
Washington, DC 20036

Paul Schroeder AFB Midwest 401 N. Michigan Avenue Suite 308 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Riley M. Murphy
Charles Kallenbach
American Communications
Services, Inc.
131 National Business Parkway
Suite 100
Annapolis Junction, Maryland 20701

Alan R. Shark
American Mobile Telecommunications
Association, Inc.
1150 18th Street, NW, Suite 250
Washington, DC 20036

Danny E. Adams
Steven A. Augustino
Kelley, Drye & Warren, LLP
1200 19th Street NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036

Rolayne Ailts, Esquire
South Dakota Public Utilities
Commission
500 East Capital
Pierre, South Dakota 57501

Henry M. Rivera
Larry S. Solomon
J. Thomas Nolan
Ginsburg, Feldman & Bress, Chtd.
1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036

David N. Porter
MFS Communications Company, Inc.
3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

Agris Pavlovskis
Michigan Exchange Carriers
Association, Inc.
1400 Michigan National Tower
Lansing, Michigan 48901-0025

William J. Celio Ronald G. Choura Michigan Public Service Commission 6545 Mercantile Way Lansing, Michigan 48910 Richard J. Johnson Michael J. Bradley Moss & Barnett 4800 Norwest Center 90 South 7th Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-4129

John Garner
Alabama Public Service Commission
Post Office Box 991
100 North Union Street
Montgomery, Alabama 36101

Don Schroer, Chairman Alaska Public Utilities Commission 1016 West 6th Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

James Rowe Alaska Telephone Association 4341 B Street, Suite 304 Anchorage, Alaska 99503 Dr. Barbara O'Connor Alliance for Public Technology 901 15th Street, Suite 230 Washington, DC 20005

Mary Gardiner Jones Alliance for Public Technology 901 15th Street, Suite 230 Washington, DC 20005 Curtis T. White Allied Associated Partners, LP GELD Information Systems 4201 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 402 Washington, DC 20008-1158

Carolyn C. Hill ALLTEL Telephone Services Corp. 655 15th Street, NW, Suite 220 Washington, DC 20005 Lowell C. Johnson Nebraska Public Service Commission 300 The Atrium, 1200 N Street Post Office Box 94927 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4927 E. Barclay Jackson, Esquire
New Hampshire Public Utilities
Commission
8 Old Suncook Road
Concord, New Hampshire 03301-7319

David Kaufman, Esquire
New Mexico State Corp. Commission
Post Office Box 1269
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1269

Stephen F. Mecham 160 East 300 South Post Office Box 45585 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145 Michael L. Ginsberg 160 East 300 South Post Office Box 146751 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145

Sheldon M. Katz Vermont Department of Public Service Drawer 20 Montpelier, Vermont 05620-2601 George E. Young, Esquire Vermont Public Service Board Drawer 20 Montpelier, Vermont 05620-2701

Wayne V. Black
C. Douglas Jarrett,
Susan M. Hafeli
Keller and Heckman
1001 G Street, N.W.
Suite 500 West
Washington, D.C. 20001

Albert H. Kramer Robert F. Aldrich Dickstein, Shapiro & Morin, LLP 2101 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1526

James Baller
Lana Meller
The Baller Law Group
1820 Jefferson Place, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Thomas P. Hester, Kelly R. Welsh, John T. Lenahan, Mike Pabian, Larry Peck, Gary Phillips, Ameritech 30 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 Paul J. Berman
Alane C. Weixel
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
P. O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C. 20044-7566

Eric Witte Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Gene P. Belardi MobileMedia Communications, Inc. 2101 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 935 Arlington, VA 22201 James N. Horwood Scott H. Strauss, Wendy S. Lader Spiegel & McDiarmid Suite 1100 1350 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-4798

Aliceann Wohlbruck National Association of Development Organizations 444 North Capitol Street, N.W. Suite 630 Washington, D.C. 20001 Paul Rodgers, Charles D. Gray, James Bradford Ramsay National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners 1102 ICC Building P. O. Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044

Carl W. Northrop
Christine M. Crowe
Paul Hastings, Janofsky & Walker
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
10th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20004

Christopher C. Kempley
Deborah R. Scott
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Richard J. Metzger, Emily M. Williams Association for Local Telecommunications Services 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 560 Washington, D.C. 20036 Bettye J. Gardner
The Association for the Study of
Afro-American Life and History, Inc.
1407 14th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3704

Terrence P. McGarty COMAV Corp. 60 State Street, 22nd Floor Boston, MA 02109 Joseph W. Waz, Jr. Beth O'Donnell Comcast Corporation 1500 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19102

Martha Hogerty
NASUCA
1133 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 550
Washington, D.C. 20005

John Crump National Bar Association 1225 11th Street, N.W. washington, D.C. 20001-4217

Daniel L. Brenner, Neal M. Goldberg David L. Nicoll National Cable Television Association, Inc. 1724 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Joanne Salvatore Bochis
Perry Goldschein
National Exchange Carrier Association,
Inc.
100 South Jefferson Road
Whippany, N.J. 07981

Douglas L. Povich Kelly & Povich, PC 1101 30th Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007 Maureen O. Helmer
Public Service Commission of the
State of New York
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

Gerald M. Zuckerman, Edwards B. Myers Communications and Energy Dispute Resolution Associates International Square 1825 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20006 Ronald J. Binz
Debra Berlyn
Competition Policy Institute
1156 15th Street, N.W., Suite 310
Washington, D.C. 20005

Genevieve Morelli
Competitive Telecommunications
Association
1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 220
Washington, D.C. 20036

Reginald J. Smith
Connecticut Department of Public
Utility Control
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

James U. Troup
L. Charles Keller
Arter & Hadden
1801 K Street, N.W., Suite 400K
Washington, D.C. 20006

Robert S. Foosaner, Lawrence R. Krevor Laura L. Holloway Nextel Communications, Inc. 800 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1001 Washington, D.C. 20006

J. Scott Bonney NEXTLINK Communications, LLC 1550 108th Avenue, N.E. Bellevue, WA 98004 Antoinette R. Wike, Public Staff North Carolina Utilities Commission 430 North Salisbury Street P. O. Box 29520 Raleigh, N.C. 27626-0520

John G. Lamb, Jr.
Northern Telecom, Inc.
2100 Lakeside Blvd.
Richardson, TX 75081-1599

Saul Fisher William J. Balcerski NYNEX Telephone Companies 1111 Westchester Avenue White Plains, N.Y. 10604

Michael E. Glover, Leslie A. Vial, James G. Pachulski, Lydia Pulley Bell Atlantic 1320 North Court House Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201 John T. Scott, III Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 M. Robert Sutherland
Richard M. Sbaratta
A. Kirven Gilbert III
BellSouth
1155 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 1700
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Earl Pace BDPA 1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 610 Washington, D.C. 20036

Timothy E. Welch, Esquire
Hill and Welch
1330 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
#113
Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert S. Tongren
David C. Bergmann
Thomas J. O'Brien
Karen J. Hardie
Office of the Consumers' Counsel
77 South High Street, 15th Floor
Columbus, OH 43266-0550

Betty D. Montgomery, Duane W. Luckey Steven T. Nourse, Jodi Jenkins Bair Public Utilities Section Office of the Attorney General 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215-3793 Ernest G. John John Gray Maribeth D. Snapp Oklahoma Corporation Commission P. O. Box 25000-2000 Oklahoma City, OK 73152-2000

Mark J. Tauber
Mark J. O'Conner
Piper & Marbury, LLP
1200 19th Street, N.W.
7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

Roger Hamilton, Ron Eachus John Smith, W. Benny Won Oregon Public Utility Commission 1162 Court Street, N.E. Salem, OR 97310

Mark J. Palchick
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease
1828 L Street, N.W., Suite 1111
Washington, D.C. 20036

Rachel J. Rothstein Ann P. Morton Cable & Wireless, Inc. 8219 Leesburg Pike Vienna, VA 22181 Judith St. Ledger-Roty
Paul G. Madison
Reed Smith Shaw & McClay
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 1100 - East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005-3317

Michael F. Altschul
Randall S. Coleman
Cellular Telecommunications Industry
Association
1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Margaret E. Garber
Pacific Telesis Group
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

Mark J. Golden
Robert R. Cohen
Personal Communications Industry
Association
500 Montgomery Street, Suite 700
Alexandria, VA 22314-1561

Richard Rubin
Steven N. Teplitz
Fleischman and Walsh, LLP
1400 16th Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036

Peter Arth, Jr., Edward W. O'Neill Mary Mack Adu, Attorneys for the People of the State of California and the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Marlin D. Ard
Randall E. Cape
John W. Bogy
Pacific Telesis Group
140 New Montgomery Street, Rm. 1530A
15th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105

Maureen A. Scott Veronica A. Smith John F. Povilaitis Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission P. O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Joe D. Edge
Richard J. Arsenault
Tina M. Pidgeon
Drinker, Biddle & Reath
901 15th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Winston Pittman
Chrysler Minority Dealers Association
American Center
27777 Franklin Road, Suite 1105
Southfield, MI 48034

Thomas E. Taylor
Jack B. Harrison
Cincinnati Bell Telephone Co.
2500 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202

Richard M. Tettelbaum Citizens Utilities Company 1400 16th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Norman D. Rasmussen
Colorado Independent Telephone
Association
3236 Hiwan Drive
Evergreen, CO 80439

Robert J. Hix Vincent Majkowski Colorado Public Utilities Commission 1580 Logan Street Office Level 2 Denver, CO 80203

George Petrutsas
Paul J. Feldman
Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, PLC
1300 North 17th Street, 11th Floor
Rosslyn, VA 22209

Margot Smiley Humphrey NRTA Koteen & Naftalin, LLP 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036

David Cosson, L. Marie Guillory Steven E. Watkins NTCA 2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Lisa M. Zaina
Ken Johnson
OPASTCO
21 Dupont Circle, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

James D. Ellis
Robert M. Lynch
David F. Brown
SBC Communications, Inc.
175 E. Houston, Room 1254
San Antonio, TX 78205

Susan Drombetta Scherers Communications Group, Inc. 575 Scherers Court Worthington, OH 43085 Myra Karegianes
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 North LaSalle Street
Suite C-800
Chicago, IL 60601

Dwight E. Zimmerman
Illinois Independent Telephone
Association
RR 13, 24B Oakmont Road
Bloomington, IL 61704

Robert C. Glazier
Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission
Indiana Government Center South
302 West Washington, Suite E306
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Fiona Branton
Information Technology Industry
Council
1250 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Cindy Schonbaut Intelcom Group (USA), Inc. 9605 East Maroon Circle Englewood, CO 80112

Jonathan E. Canis Reed Smith Shaw & McClay 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 1100 East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005

Reginald R. Bernard SDN Users Association, Inc. P. O. Box 4014 Bridgewater, N.J. 08807

James A. Eibel
Network Reliability Council II
Secretariat
7613 William Penn Place
Indianapolis, IN 46256

Eric E. Breisach Christopher C. Cinnamon Howard & Howard 107 W. Michigan Avenue, Suite 400 Kalamazoo, MI 49007 R. Glenn Rhyne State of South Carolina Public Service Commission P. O. Drawer 11649 Columbia, SC 29211 Madelyn M. DeMatteo
Alfred J. Brunetti
Maura C. Bollinger
Southern New England Telephone Company
227 Church Street
New Haven, CT 06506

Leon M. Kestenbaum
Jay C. Keithley
H. Richard Juhnke
Sprint Corp.
1850 M Street, N.W., 11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

William H. Smith, Jr.
Allan Kniep
Mary Jo Street
Iowa Utilities Board
Lucas State Office Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Christopher W. Savage
David C. Haghighi
Cole, Raywid & Braverman, LLP
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20006

David Heinemann
Julie Thomas Bowles
Kansas Corporation Commission
1500 S.W. Arrowhead Road
Topeka, KS 66604

Amy E. Dougherty Kentucky Public Service Commission P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Richard N. Koch 10 Lilac Street Sharon, MA 02067

Michael S. Fox John Staurulakis, Inc. 6315 Seabrook Road Seabrook, MD 20706

Chris Barron TCA, Inc. 3617 Betty Drive, Suite I Colorado Springs, CO 80917 Margot Smiley Humphrey Koteen & Naftalin, LLP 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036 Peter A. Rohrbach, Linda L. Oliver, Kyle D. Dixon, Hogan & Hartson, LLP 555 13th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Philip L. Verveer
Sue D. Blumenfeld
Thomas Jones
Willkie Farr & Gallagher
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Howard J. Symons
Sara S. Seidman
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky
and Popeo, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004

Lee M. Weiner
Douglas W. Kinkoph
LCI International Telecom Corp.
8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 800
McLean, VA 22102

Robert A. Mazer
Albert Shuldiner
Mary Pape
Vinson & Elkins
1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-1008

Peter A. Rohrbach Linda L. Oliver Kyle D. Dixon Hogan & Hartson, LLP 555 13th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Lawrence St. Blanc
Gayle T. Kellner
Louisiana Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 91154
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-9154

Stephen R. Rosen
Theodore M. Weitz
Lucent Technologies, Inc.
475 South Street
Morristown, N.J. 07962-1976

Kathy L. Shobert
General Communication, Inc.
901 15th Street, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20005

Carl Weinhaus
Telecommunications Industries Analysis
Project
University of Florida College of
Business
Administration
121 Mt. Vernon St.
Boston, MA 02108